



LAW OFFICES OF
CHARLES D. WALLER
 PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

July 19, 2007

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CHARLES D. WALLER
 CIRCUIT & COUNTY MEDIATOR
 JARROD M. SCHIARBIN

East Pasco Association of Realtors
 5026 7th Street
 Zephyrhills, Florida 33542

Re: Correspondence of May 30, 2007/Angelo's Aggregate
 Class I Landfill-Dade City, Florida

Dear Board:

I have been contacted by several members of the East Pasco Association of Realtors who are highly upset at the contents of the above referenced item of correspondence.

One, by the term "we" used in the correspondence, the appearance is that the referenced 233 members are in agreement with the vote. It appears that this is not true. They are concerned that they did not receive any notice that the membership was to vote on such an item of correspondence. If you had said "we, the members of the Board of Directors", meaning whatever that count is, would be one thing, but to sign it the "Association" is causing much consternation among a significant group.

They are concerned in that should a catastrophe, as you suggested, occur and their water supply becomes unusable, they could get water from another source. On the other hand, the alternative is another incinerator, which has been determined to be spewing out mercury and many other chemicals, and if our air becomes unusable, there is no reasonable alternative. And the proliferation into the air is not a mere possibility, but is a reality right now with the one incinerator. What is the level to be with an additional one?

Research shows that a landfill is much more environmentally safe as opposed to an incinerator.

Another concern is the cost. Nineteen years ago, what was proposed to be a reasonable construction cost, ended up costing some 99 million dollars. A new incinerator will undoubtedly cost some 500 million dollars when and if complete. We are told the county commissions do not have enough money for our Sheriff department's needs, nor for our roads to be paved.

Then why spend \$500,000,000.00 (which only we taxpayers will pay for) when Angelo's proposal is to take care of it without any taxpayer cost. Further, the

TOTAL P. 02

No. 2528 P. 3

East Pasco Association of Realtors
Re: Correspondence of May 30, 2007/Angelo's Aggregate
Class I Landfill-Dade City, Florida
July 19, 2007
Page Two

per unit disposable cost now is another \$59.00 per unit, while Angelo's proposal is to cap the cost at less than 1/2 of that amount!

As far as the proposed location, just down the street (approximately one-half mile) is located the County's class one landfill, with no liner.

I lived here when the County's landfill was created and it caused no diminution in value of adjoining lands. We can't allow a "the sky is falling" argument about what would happen if this application were approved. The Board apparently did not attend the public meetings when these questions were asked and answered.

And to say, "the facility encompasses hundreds of acres the amount of waste per day"! The facility encompasses 92 acres in the middle of 2,000 acres owned by Angelos. What are you saying?

This letter is to formally request that a retraction of that letter be sent to the Department reflecting that it was only a small number of persons who share that opinion and that it is not the majority of the Association's 233 members.

I would appreciate receiving your timely response and by copy of this to the Department, I am soliciting that they allow you to clarify your information and references prior to giving your request any consideration at all in the consideration of Angelo's request.

Very truly yours,

The Law Office of
CHARLES D. WALLER, P.A.
Attorney at Law

CDW/srh

Cc: Florida Department of Environmental Protection